

Requirements Documentation Marking Scheme							
Team Number							
Team Name							
Student Name		macid					
Student Name		macid					
Student Name		macid					
						Mark	Mark (out of)
Basics							
One mark off for every spelling and grammar mistake, after the first two mistakes, to the maximum shown.							
Take off 3 marks if the file is not located in the correct spot in the repo							
Total						0	8
Style							
Paragraph structure (logical grouping of ideas)							
Concisely expressed ideas (not wordy)							
Flow between paragraphs and sections							
Adequate number of figures and other visuals (could be zero, if this is adequate)							
"Pointers" in the document to help navigate through							
Subsections logically organized (information hiding and encapsulation as much as possible)							
Total						0	8
Overall Opinion of Content							
Is the material covered adequately							
Is the rational clear and logical							
Originality - evidence that the students have thought about the issues and shown creativity							
Total						0	8
Check List							
Template is followed, additions to template are explicitly indicated							2
Title Page							1
Table of Contents							1

List of Figures					1
List of Tables					1
Pages are numbered					1
Every figure has a caption and every table has a heading					1
There is a section for the revision history					1
Project drivers - purpose of the project, stakeholders, constraints, naming conventions, terminology					3
Scope - scope and context are given					3
Project issues section - including off-the-shelf solutions, new problems, tasks, risks, costs, user documentation, waiting room, ideas for solutions					3
Open issues are identified (if appropriate) – part of PoC					2
Identifies the technical (or other) risks that need to be tested, for instance during the proof of concept demonstration					2
Requirements are abstract					3
Requirements are unambiguous					3
Requirements are traceable					2
Requirements are validatable					2
Requirements are complete					2
Requirements are consistent					2
Requirements use symbolic parameters rather than values that are explicitly written into the requirements					2
All requirements are numbered (labelled)					2
Nonfunctional requirements are documented Check a few nonfunctional requirements at random to see if they are validatable 1. Usability requirements 2. Safety requirements 3. Performance requirements 4. Installability requirement					3
Health and Safety concerns are documented					3
Document clearly shows the inputs to the system and the requirements for the determination of the outputs.					8
The terms functional and nonfunctional requirements are used correctly					4
Key questions are asked by the evaluator on the project and then the answers are sought in the documentation and the quality of the answers is evaluated.					10
Assumptions are documented (look for user characteristics, hardware constraints, scope constraints etc.)					3
Gantt Chart updated, shows phasing in of requirements					5
Total				0	76
Total Mark				0	100

