

CAS 741, CES 741 (Development of Scientific Computing Software)

Fall 2017

25 Discussion

Dr. Spencer Smith

Faculty of Engineering, McMaster University

November 24, 2017



Discussions

- Administrative details
- Coding style
- Test report
- Questions?
- Discussion

Administrative Details

- Course evaluation
 - ▶ Nov 23 to Dec 7
 - ▶ <https://evals.mcmaster.ca>
- GitHub issues for colleagues
 - ▶ Assigned 1 colleague (see Repos.xlsx in repo)
 - ▶ Provide at least 5 issues on their MIS
 - ▶ Grading as before
 - ▶ Due by Tuesday, Dec 5, 11:59 pm
- Source in src folder
- Added an INSTALL.txt file to BlankProjectTemplate

Administrative Details: Deadlines

Final Documentation Dec 18, 11:59 pm

Coding Style

- Having a coding standard is more important than which standard you use
- Examples
 - ▶ Google guides
 - ▶ Python
 - ▶ C++
 - ▶ Java
 - ▶ Mozilla Developer Network
 - ▶ NASA C Style Guide
- Your decisions on style may evolve over the project
- Important to be consistent

Installability and Learnability

- You can test this
- Ask a colleague to install your software
- Run it on a virtual machine, like [VirtualBox](#)
- Use a “light weight” VM like docker
- Include installation instructions (INSTALL.txt)
- Include instructions so that someone else can run your tests cases

Final Documentation: Test Report

- Completing what you proposed in your test plan
- You do not need to repeat material from your test plan - the emphasis is not on the rationale for test case selection, but on the results.
- If your test plan does not match what you are now testing, edit your test plan to “fake” a rational design process.

Test Report Continued

- Provide specific test cases
- Summarize your test results
 - ▶ Test case name
 - ▶ Initial state
 - ▶ Input
 - ▶ Expected results
 - ▶ Whether actual output matched expected
- Summarize and explain usability tests - quantify the results
- Performance tests - quantify the results
- Stress tests
- Robustness tests
- After quantification of nonfunctional tests, explain significance of results

Test Report Continued

- In cases where there are many similar tests
 - ▶ Summarize the results
 - ▶ If the expected result is obvious, you might not need to state it
 - ▶ Give an example test case, and explain how similar tests were constructed
 - ▶ If the tests were random, describe how they were selected, and how many, but not all of the details
 - ▶ Use graphs and tables
 - ▶ You need enough information that
 - ▶ Someone could reproduce your tests
 - ▶ Your test results are convincing
 - ▶ Evidence that you have used testing to improve the quality of your project

Test Report Continued

- Summarize changes made in response to test results
- Explain your automated testing set-up (if require more detail than from the test plan)
- Provide traceability to requirements
- Provide traceability to modules
- Make sure you show test results for “bad/abnormal” input

Sample Test Report Documents

- Screenholders
- 2D Physics Based Game (Uses doxygen)
- Follow given template
- Examples are not perfect
- Examples are intended to give you ideas, not to be strictly followed
- You can modify/extend the test report template as appropriate

Questions?

- Questions Final documentation?

Discussion

- Thoughts on documentation
 - ▶ SRS
 - ▶ VnV Plan
 - ▶ MG
 - ▶ MIS
- Thoughts on technology
 - ▶ Git
 - ▶ GitHub
 - ▶ LaTeX

Discussion

- What ideas from the course will you continue to use?
- Thoughts on
 - ▶ Drasil
 - ▶ Assurance cases
- Other thoughts?