

# SE 2AA4 / CS 2ME3 Software Design I

Spencer Smith

August 2, 2017

## 1 Prerequisites

1. SE 2S03, SE 2XA3

## 2 Learning Objectives

1. Students should know and understand
  - (a) Mathematics used for specification (first order logic, set theory, Parnas tables, etc.)
  - (b) Software specification (Module interface specification (Abstract objects, ADTs, Generic), modules with external interaction, finite state machines, descriptive versus operational, UML, etc. )
  - (c) Verification (White box, black box, analysis, etc.)
  - (d) Functional programming
  - (e) Object oriented programming
  - (f) Software quality
  - (g) Software design principles (correctness, verifiability, etc); information hiding
  - (h) Modularization and interface design (assumptions, exceptions, methods, minimal, effective, etc.)
  - (i) Design patterns
  - (j) git, make, LaTeX, doxygen, pyunit, junit
2. Students should be able to
  - (a) Identify reasonable assumptions
  - (b) Demonstrate an ability to identify a range of suitable engineering fundamentals (including mathematical techniques) that would be potentially useful for analyzing a technical problem.

- (c) Recognize and discusses applicable theory knowledge base
- (d) Select appropriate model and methods and identify assumptions and constraints
- (e) Estimate outcomes, uncertainties and determine appropriate data to collect
- (f) Recognize and follows an engineering design process
- (g) Propose solutions for open-ended design problems
- (h) Understand the role of the engineer in society, especially in protection of the public and public interest
- (i) Show an awareness of the PEO and the role of licensing

### **3 Mapping to Attributes with their Indicators**

#### **Knowledge Base for Engineering**

- |  |                |
|--|----------------|
| 1. Competence in Mathematics                       | 1a             |
| 4. Competence in Specialized Engineering Knowledge | 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e |

#### **Problem Analysis**

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Ability to identify reasonable assumptions            | 2a |
| 2. Ability to identify suitable engineering fundamentals | 2b |

#### **Investigation**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Able to recognize and discuss applicable theory knowledge base                   | 2c |
| 2. Selects appropriate model and methods and identifies assumptions and constraints | 2d |
| 3. Estimates outcomes, uncertainties and determines appropriate data to collect     | 2e |

#### **Design**

- |   |                |
|---|----------------|
| 1. Recognizes and follows an engineering design process | 2f             |
| 2. Recognizes and follows engineering design principles | 1f, 1g, 1h, 1i |
| 3. Proposes solutions to open-ended problems            | 2g             |

#### **Use of Engineering Tools**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 2. The ability to use modern/state of the art tools | 1j |
|---|----|

#### **Professionalism**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Demonstrates an understanding of the role of the engineer in society | 2h |
| 3. Shows an awareness of the PEO and the role of licensing              | 2i |

### **4 Rubrics**

The following learning objectives were not measured:

1. Identify reasonable assumptions **2a**
2. Demonstrate an ability to identify a range of suitable engineering fundamentals (including mathematical techniques) that would be potentially useful for analyzing a technical problem. **2b**
3. Recognize and discusses applicable theory knowledge base **2c**
4. Select appropriate model and methods and identify assumptions and constraints **2d**
5. Estimate outcomes, uncertainties and determine appropriate data to collect **2e**

The measured learning objectives are summarized on the following pages.

Table 1: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	
Specification mathematics (first understand set matrics of specification theory, Parmas tables, etc) <a href="#">1a</a>	Unable to read and understand mathematical spec-ification, set matrics of specification theory, Parmas tables, etc	Able to read mathematical spec-ification, but can-not write math for a spec	Can read and write mathematical specifi-cation, including more advanced math-ematics	Can read and write mathematical specifi-cation, including more advanced math-ematics
Exam Questions	36 C	1 B, 20 E	21 C	
Num Students	5	32	6	7

Table 2: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
<b>Software specification 1b</b>	Poor performance on these topics	Okay performance	Average performance	Above average performance
<b>Exam Questions</b>	26 B	22 B, 23 C, 24 D, 25 D, 42 C	25	43 D
<b>Num Students</b>	17	4	16	12

Table 3: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
Verification <b>1c</b> Poor performance on these topics	Okay performance	Average performance	Above average performance	Above average performance
Exam Questions	8 A, 39 B	9 A, 10 B, 13 C, 14 D, 15 D, 16 B, 17 B, 37 E	38 D, 40 A	
Num Students	3	28	15	3

Table 4: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
Functional programming <b>1d</b>	Poor performance on these topics	Okay performance	Average performance	Above average performance
Exam Questions	27 C	3 A, 12 D	35 E, 44 A	
Num Students	4	13	11	17

Table 5: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

		EXPECTATIONS			
Topic		Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
Object oriented programming	Poor performance on these topics	Okay performance	Average performance	Above average performance	Above average performance
∞					
Exam Questions		28 D	45 F	45 F	45 F
Num Students	10	40	0	0	0

Table 6: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				<b>Exceeds</b>
	<b>Below</b>	<b>Marginal</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Understands meaning and interaction between qualities</b>	
<b>Software quality 1f</b>	Does not understand meaning of software qualities	Understands meaning of software qualities, but does not understand interaction between qualities	Understand meaning and interaction between qualities	Understands meaning and interaction between qualities and ideas on how to measure different qualities	
<b>Exam Questions</b>	30 A	29 D	45 F		
<b>Num Students</b>	9	27	14	0	

Table 7: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Can apply principles for and reason between principles	
Software design principles <b>1g</b>	Does not understand	Can repeat definitions	Can apply principles	Understands reason for and tradeoffs between principles	
Exam Questions	6 A, 41 E	7 B	45 F		
Num Students	15	21	14	0	

Table 8: Rubrics  
Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds	
Modularization and interface design <b>1h</b>	Does not understand	Can repeat definitions MIS	Can read and write MIS	Can create an MIS that could be used by someone else to implement a module	
Exam Questions	2 B	31 E, 32 C	45 B		
Num Students	2	44	4	0	

Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds	
Design patterns <b>1i</b>	Does not understand	Can repeat definitions	Can identify and use a few patterns	Can identify and use patterns that were not explicitly covered in the course	
Exam Questions	34 A	33 B	45 F		
Num Students	9	8	33	0	

Table 10: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
Tools <b>1j</b>	Cannot use git, Python or Java	Can do basics in git, Python and Java	Very comfortable in git, Python and Java	Uses technology beyond what is covered in the class
Exam Questions	19 E	45 F	45 F	45 F
Num Students	9	41	0	0

Table 11: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Above average performance	
Follows an engineering design process <b>2f</b>	Poor performance on these topics	Okay performance	Average performance	Above average performance	
Exam Questions	4 A	5 B	45 F		
Num Students	3	7	40	0	

Table 12: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Assignment 4 (Battleship)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds	
Open Ended 2g	Overwhelmed by open-ended problem	Trivial attempt to solve	Reasonable attempt to solve in a straightforward way	Excellent attempt to solve, think abstractly and generally	
Assig Performance	Low grade	Average grade	Above average grade (complete bonus)	Above average grade (complete bonus)	
Num Students	3	4	6	65	

Table 13: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS				Exceeds
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds	
Understand the role of the engineer in society <b>2h</b>	Does not understand	Understands definitions, but cannot apply them to a real world context	defi- nitions, but cannot apply them to a real world context	Can apply definitions to a real world problem.	Passionate about the importance of the role of engineers in society
Exam Questions	18 A	45 F	45 F	45 F	45 F
Num Students	5	45	0	0	

Table 14: Rubrics  
 Student work used: Final Exam (See 2aa4 Repo for Exam Details and Questions)

Topic	EXPECTATIONS			
	Below	Marginal	Meets	Exceeds
Show an awareness of the PEO 2i	Not aware	Understands facts	Can apply facts in a new context, to case examples	Passionate about the importance of licensing and the PEO
Exam Questions	11 B	45 F	45 F	45 F
Num Students	13	32	0	0

## **5 Identified areas for continuous improvement**

From the Vena Course Report:

- Design patterns should be emphasized to a greater extent next year; the lecture on translating between English and math could be shortened.
- One final exam is easier to translate into measurement data. The assignment grades should be broken down further so that they can be used for more fine grained measurement. Should investigate whether it is possible to have at least one written question on the final exam (TA hours are the main problem with this.)

## **6 Actions to take for implementation of continuous improvement**

From the Vena Course Report:

- The tutorials were not fully utilized. Additional exercises should be added so that the tutorials are more productive. Examples from the previous year can be used
- In the future, discrete math should be required as a pre-req. This recommendation has been through the curriculum committee and is now going to the department.
- Some learning outcomes do not really seem to fit with 2AA4. These LOS were not measured this year. Maybe they should be deleted in the future?

## **7 Mapping back to indicators**

This information is available in Vena.